Table 1. Fit indices of the HEMA scale using exploratory factor analysis (Study 1; n = 391)

	χ^2	df	p	CFI	RMSEA	SRMR	AIC	BIC
1-factor solution	405.5	27	.000	.715	.189	.104	11573.9	11595.3
2-factor solution	165.5	19	.000	.890	.140	.048	11349.8	11377.7
3-factor solution	32.7	12	.011	.984	.066	.021	11231.0	11264.4

Note . Fit indices of 3-factor solution using confirmatory factor analysis (χ^2 (24, n=394) = 48.7, p=.002, CFI = .984, RMSEA = .051, SRMR = .027)

Table 2. Factor stracture of the HEMA scale (Study 1)

	n = 391				n = 394						
	Exploratory FA				Confirmatory FA			Descriptive statistics			ics
	F1	F2	F3	h^2	F1	F2	F3	М	SD	Kurtosis	Skewness
F1: Eudaimonia ($\alpha = .80$)											
8 Seeking to use the best in yourself	.84	08	07	.60	.80			4.90	1.44	-0.46	-0.22
2 Seeking to develop a skill, learn, or gain insight into something?	.77	07	09	.49	.74			4.83	1.46	-0.42	-0.21
5 Seeking to pursue excellence or a personal ideal	.58	04	.10	.36	.66			4.80	1.53	-0.55	-0.25
3 Seeking to do what you believe in	.57	01	.12	.39	.62			4.94	1.45	-0.43	-0.36
F2: Pleasure $(\alpha = .87)$											
6 Seeking enjoyment	11	1.14	13	.99		.89		5.85	1.35	-1.52	2.37
9 Seeking fun	.11	.61	.02	.48		.77		5.41	1.51	-0.97	0.47
4 Seeking pleasure	.24	.53	.05	.54		.86		5.59	1.44	-1.18	1.21
F3: Relaxation ($\alpha = .79$)											
1 Seeking relaxation	03	19	1.04	.85			.83	5.13	1.62	-0.71	-0.20
7 Seeking to take it easy	12	.21	.63	.54			.78	5.07	1.66	-0.71	-0.21
Cumulative contribution ratio (%)	21.8	42.7	58.4								
Factor correlation F2	.59				.64						
F3	.39	.65			.17	.56					

Asano, R., Igarashi, T., Tsukamoto, S. (2014). Hedonic and eudaimonic motives for activities (HEMA) in Japan: The pursuit of well-being. Japanese Journal of Psychology, 85, 69-79.

Table 3. Fit indices using exploratory factor analysis (Study 2; n = 333)

	χ^2	df	p	CFI	RMSEA	SRMR	AIC	BIC
1-factor solution	767.5	44	.000	.568	.222	.148	10952.9	10973.9
2-factor solution	207.5	34	.000	.896	.124	.050	10412.9	10440.2
3-factor solution	42.0	25	.018	.990	.045	.016	10265.4	10298.5

Note . Fit indices of 3-factor solution using confirmatory factor analysis (χ^2 (41, n=336) = 79.1, p=.000, CFI = .976, RMSEA = .053, SRMR = .036)

Table 4. Factor stracture of the Japanese version of the HEMA scale (Study 2)

	n = 333				n = 336							
		EFA				CFA			Descrip	tive statis	estatistics	
	F1	F2	F3	h^2	F1	F2	F3	М	SD	Kurtosis	Skewness	
F1: Relaxation ($\alpha = .84$)												
11 Seeking to feel easy*	.90	01	15	.69	.80			5.08	1.48	-0.62	-0.15	
10 Seeking calmness*	.83	.08	03	.70	.77			5.24	1.36	-0.83	0.68	
1 Seeking relaxation	.80	.00	.01	.65	.78			5.39	1.32	-0.95	0.85	
7 Seeking to take it easy	.66	15	.21	.58	.66			5.33	1.30	-1.01	1.20	
F2: Eudaimonia ($\alpha = .80$)												
8 Seeking to use the best in yourself	07	.78	.01	.60		.69		5.03	1.31	-0.47	0.08	
2 Seeking to develop a skill, learn, or gain insight into something?	04	.76	05	.53		.76		5.17	1.19	-0.70	0.76	
5 Seeking to pursue excellence or a personal ideal	.07	.67	.02	.49		.71		5.07	1.31	-0.72	0.42	
3 Seeking to do what you believe in	.07	.66	.01	.46		.69		5.18	1.27	-0.70	0.50	
F3: Pleasure $(\alpha = .84)$												
6 Seeking enjoyment	04	02	.89	.75			.88	5.94	1.04	-1.54	4.29	
9 Seeking fun	05	02	.82	.62			.72	5.60	1.22	-1.04	1.33	
4 Seeking pleasure	.08	.22	.56	.56			.83	5.80	1.05	-1.33	3.38	
Cumulative contribution ratio (%)	23.5	43.2	60.2									
Factor correlation F2	.21				.03							
F3	.54	.55			.40	.63						

Note. *Items that were added in the Japanese version of the scale

Asano, R., Igarashi, T., Tsukamoto, S. (2014). Hedonic and eudaimonic motives for activities (HEMA) in Japan: The pursuit of well-being. Japanese Journal of Psychology, 85, 69-79.

Table 5. Fit indices using exploratory factor analysis (Study 2; n = 134)

	χ^2	df	p	CFI	RMSEA	SRMR	AIC	BIC
1-factor solution	408.4	44	.000	.405	.249	.181	4687.6	4678.8
2-factor solution	191.8	34	.000	.743	.186	.081	4491.0	4479.6
3-factor solution	56.0	25	.000	.949	.096	.033	4373.2	4359.4

Note . Fit indices of 3-factor solution using confirmatory factor analysis (χ^2 (41, n=136)) = 87.2, p=.000, CFI = .906, RMSEA = .091, SRMR = .068)

Table 6. Scores of the Japanese version of the HEMA scale (Study 2)

		1				` ' '						
	Male $(n = 145)$		Female ((n=191)	. 4		Cahamla d					
	\overline{M}	SD	M	SD	ι	p	Cohen's d					
Relaxation	5.24	1.26	5.27	1.01	0.24	.808	.03					
Eudaimonia	5.22	1.11	5.03	0.91	1.66	.098	.19					
Pleasure	5.88	1.13	5.71	0.81	1.57	.119	.18					

Table 7. Pearson correlations between the Japanese version of the HEMA scale and external criteria (Study 2; n = 336)

	Relaxation]	Eudaimoni	ia	Pleasure		
	r	95%CI	p	r	95%CI	p	r	95%CI	p
Trait self-esteem (Rosenberg, 1965)	.02 [09 .12] .777	.16 [.06 .26] .003	.11 [.01 .22] .039
Life satisfaction (Diener et al., 1985)	.04 [06 .15] .437	.23 [.13 .33	.000	.19 [.09 .29	000. [
Affect (Ogawa et al., 2000, in Japanese)									
Positive affect	.01 [09 .12] .788	.33 [.23 .43	.000	.34 [.24 .43	000. [
Negative affect	.04 [07 .15] .460	.06 [04 .17] .238	09 [19 .02] .116
Calmness	.40 [.31 .49	.000	.09 [02 .19] .105	.23 [.13 .33	000. [
Emotion regulation (Gross & John, 2003)									
Reappraisal	.08 [03 .19] .133	.38 [.29 .47	.000	.32 [.22 .42	000. [
Suppression	.08 [03 .19] .133	.08 [02 .19] .126	07 [18 .03] .182
Psychological WB (Nishida, 2000, in Japanese)									
Personal growth	05 [16 .06] .360	.42 [.33 .51	.000	.34 [.24 .43	000. [
Purpose in life	09 [20 .01] .084	.32 [.22 .41	.000	.13 [.03 .24] .014
Number of social network	05 [15 .06] .404	.20 [.09 .30	.000	.16 [.06 .26] .003
Perceived support from family members	.02 [08 .13] .649	.09 [02 .19] .106	.04 [07 .14] .513
Perceived support from friends	.11 [.00 .21] .052	.24 [.14 .34	.000	.33 [.23 .42	000. [
Frequency of sport activity (per week)	10 [21 .01] .065	.25 [.14 .35	000. [.12 [.01 .22] .027

Asano, R., Igarashi, T., Tsukamoto, S. (2014). Hedonic and eudaimonic motives for activities (HEMA) in Japan: The pursuit of well-being. Japanese Journal of Psychology, 85, 69-79.